



ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాజపత్రము

THE ANDHRA PRADESH GAZETTE

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

W.No.38

AMARAVATI, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 19, 2019

G.288

PART II - MISCELLANEOUS NOTIFICATIONS OF INTEREST TO THE PUBLIC

--X--

NOTIFICATIONS BY HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS Etc.,

MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION & URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (VIG-I)

ALLEGATION OF POSSESSION OF ASSETS DISPROPORTIONATE TO THE KNOWN SOURCES OF INCOME OF SRI T.SHANMUKHA REDDY, TOWN PLANNING ASSISTANT, GVMC, VISAKHAPATNAM AND DEPARTMENTAL ACTION AGAINST SRI T. SHANMUKHA REDDY FOR NOT SUBMITTING THE ANNUAL PROPERTY RETURNS - IR SUBMITTED - COMMUNICATING THE DISAGREEMENT FACTORS & INQUIRY REPORT.

Ref:- 1. Govt. Memo No.2082/Vig.I/2010,Dt: 10.01.2013.
2. From the DTCP, A.P., Hyderabad, Lr.Roc.No.399 / 2010/M2/, Dt : 22-12-2014.

Memo No. 2082/Vig.I.2/2010,- Whereas, in pursuance of orders issued in the reference 2nd cited, Enquiry Officer has been appointed by the DTCP, AP, Hyd to inquire into the charges framed against Sri T.Shanmukha Reddy, Town Planning Assistant, GVMC, Visakhapatnam on the allegation of violation of AP CS (Conduct). In the reference 2nd cited, the DTCP, AP, Hyderabad has forwarded the Enquiry Report to Government.

Whereas, Government after examining the said Enquiry Report have disagreed with the findings of the Enquiry Officer on the charges framed against Sri T.Shanmukha Reddy, Town Planning Assistant, GVMC, Visakhapatnam, for the following reasons/factors :-

DISAGREEMENT FACTORS

- 1) "The Enquiry Officer in his observations submitted that the Charged Officer has not furnished Annual Property Returns from 15.06.1987 to 2005., whereas in the analysis and findings against the charge, the Enquiry Officer also held that the Charged Officer due to lack of proper guidance and motivation, he has not submitted the Annual Property Returns from the date of his joining i.e., 15.06.1987 to 2005. However, the Enquiry Officer concluded that the Charged No. 1 not proved, which may not be correct as the E.O. himself has stated that the CO has not submitted the APRs.

Hence, the Charge No. 1 requires to be considered as 'PROVED', deviating the findings of the Enquiry Officer"

2. As regards to the Article of Charge No.2, that the CO acquired immovable property in his name and in the name of his wife and constructed the building in the name of his wife without obtaining the prior permission or intimation to the competent and disciplinary authority. The E.O. has also in his findings while indirectly agreeing that the CO has not obtained permission/intimation with regard to acquisition of the properties, whereas in the findings stated the CO is not willfully violated the Rule 9(1). Contradictorily to the Conduct Rules, the E.O. opined that the department should see that the property returns are obtained.

Hence, the Charge No.2 requires to be considered as 'PROVED', deviating the findings of the Enquiry Officer"

3. Whereas, a copy of the enquiry report is furnished along with the above disagreement factors mentioned in para (2) above, to Sri T.Shanmukha Reddy, Town Planning Assistant, GVMC, Visakhapatnam to submit his written representation under Rule-21 (2) of APCS (CCA) Rules, 1991 within 15 days from the date of receipt of this Memo. If no written representation is received within the stipulated time, it will be construed that he has no written representation to submit and further action will be taken in the matter based on the material available with Government.

G. JAYALAKSHMI,
Secretary to Government.

Dated : 20-05-2015.

To,

Sri T. Shanmukha Reddy, Town Planning Assistant, GVMC, Visakhapatnam (through the DT & CP, A.P. Hyderabad).